# WEST BENGAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL

#### Present-

Hon'ble Justice Soumitra Pal, Hon'ble Chairman. & Hon'ble Mr. P. Ramesh Kumar, Administrative Member.

# Case No. OA 182 of 2014. DIPAK KUMAR RABIDAS. - VS- THE STATE OF W.B. & ORS.

Serial No. and	Order of the Tribunal with signature	Office action with date
Date of order.	2	and dated signature
1	_	of parties when necessary  3
		<u> </u>
17	For the Applicant : Mr. A. Maity,	
29.8.2018.	Advocate.	
	For the State Respondent : Mr. S.K. Mondal, Advocate.	
	Advocate.	
	In this application, the applicant selected for the	
	Group "D" post in the selection process initiated by the	
	Public Service Commission, West Bengal in the year 2009	
	and recommended by the PSCWB, has prayed for a	
	direction upon the Respondents to appoint him in the	
	Group "D" post in any department of Health and Family	
	Welfare in terms of the order dated 15 <sup>th</sup> March, 2013	
	passed by the Tribunal in OA 386 of 2012 and OA 929 of	
	2012.	
	It is submitted by Mr. A. Maity, learned	
	advocate for the applicant that since the Tribunal had	
	directed the authorities to finalise the actual	
	appointment within three months subject to satisfactory	
	medical and police verification report , the applicant may	
	be appointed. Though the Tribunal had directed for	
	appointment within three months, no steps have been	
	taken for appointment. Referring to pages 32 to 47 of the	
	application, it is submitted that the respondent	

Form No.	***************************************

Vs.

DIPAK KUMAR RABIDAS.

OA 182 of 2014.

THE STATE OF W.B. & ORS.

Serial No. and Date of order.  1  Order of the Tribunal with signature 2  authorities are not appointing the applicant as a police case is pending against the applicant.  Mr. S.K. Mondal, learned advocate appearing on behalf of the Respondents submits that though the Tribunal had directed to give appointment within three months from the date of passing the order subject to satisfactory medical and police verification report, however as the applicant is involved in a criminal case the respondents are unable to give appointment.  Heard Mr. Maity and Mr. Mondal.  We find that the Tribunal by order dated  15 <sup>th</sup> March, 2013 had directed the authorities to  "finalize the actual appointment within 3 months thereafter subject to satisfactory medical and police	
authorities are not appointing the applicant as a police case is pending against the applicant.  Mr. S.K. Mondal, learned advocate appearing on behalf of the Respondents submits that though the Tribunal had directed to give appointment within three months from the date of passing the order subject to satisfactory medical and police verification report, however as the applicant is involved in a criminal case the respondents are unable to give appointment.  Heard Mr. Maity and Mr. Mondal.  We find that the Tribunal by order dated 15th March, 2013 had directed the authorities to "finalize the actual appointment within 3 months thereafter subject to satisfactory medical and police	
authorities are not appointing the applicant as a police case is pending against the applicant.  Mr. S.K. Mondal, learned advocate appearing on behalf of the Respondents submits that though the Tribunal had directed to give appointment within three months from the date of passing the order subject to satisfactory medical and police verification report, however as the applicant is involved in a criminal case the respondents are unable to give appointment.  Heard Mr. Maity and Mr. Mondal.  We find that the Tribunal by order dated 15 <sup>th</sup> March, 2013 had directed the authorities to "finalize the actual appointment within 3 months thereafter subject to satisfactory medical and police	
case is pending against the applicant.  Mr. S.K. Mondal, learned advocate appearing on behalf of the Respondents submits that though the Tribunal had directed to give appointment within three months from the date of passing the order subject to satisfactory medical and police verification report, however as the applicant is involved in a criminal case the respondents are unable to give appointment.  Heard Mr. Maity and Mr. Mondal.  We find that the Tribunal by order dated 15th March, 2013 had directed the authorities to "finalize the actual appointment within 3 months thereafter subject to satisfactory medical and police	,
Mr. S.K. Mondal, learned advocate appearing on behalf of the Respondents submits that though the Tribunal had directed to give appointment within three months from the date of passing the order subject to satisfactory medical and police verification report, however as the applicant is involved in a criminal case the respondents are unable to give appointment.  Heard Mr. Maity and Mr. Mondal.  We find that the Tribunal by order dated 15th March, 2013 had directed the authorities to "finalize the actual appointment within 3 months thereafter subject to satisfactory medical and police	
appearing on behalf of the Respondents submits that though the Tribunal had directed to give appointment within three months from the date of passing the order subject to satisfactory medical and police verification report, however as the applicant is involved in a criminal case the respondents are unable to give appointment.  Heard Mr. Maity and Mr. Mondal.  We find that the Tribunal by order dated 15th March, 2013 had directed the authorities to "finalize the actual appointment within 3 months thereafter subject to satisfactory medical and police	
though the Tribunal had directed to give appointment within three months from the date of passing the order subject to satisfactory medical and police verification report, however as the applicant is involved in a criminal case the respondents are unable to give appointment.  Heard Mr. Maity and Mr. Mondal.  We find that the Tribunal by order dated  15 <sup>th</sup> March, 2013 had directed the authorities to  "finalize the actual appointment within 3 months thereafter subject to satisfactory medical and police	
within three months from the date of passing the order subject to satisfactory medical and police verification report, however as the applicant is involved in a criminal case the respondents are unable to give appointment.  Heard Mr. Maity and Mr. Mondal.  We find that the Tribunal by order dated 15 <sup>th</sup> March, 2013 had directed the authorities to "finalize the actual appointment within 3 months thereafter subject to satisfactory medical and police	
subject to satisfactory medical and police verification report, however as the applicant is involved in a criminal case the respondents are unable to give appointment.  Heard Mr. Maity and Mr. Mondal.  We find that the Tribunal by order dated  15 <sup>th</sup> March, 2013 had directed the authorities to  "finalize the actual appointment within 3 months thereafter subject to satisfactory medical and police	
report, however as the applicant is involved in a criminal case the respondents are unable to give appointment.  Heard Mr. Maity and Mr. Mondal.  We find that the Tribunal by order dated  15 <sup>th</sup> March, 2013 had directed the authorities to  "finalize the actual appointment within 3 months  thereafter subject to satisfactory medical and police	
case the respondents are unable to give appointment.  Heard Mr. Maity and Mr. Mondal.  We find that the Tribunal by order dated  15 <sup>th</sup> March, 2013 had directed the authorities to  "finalize the actual appointment within 3 months  thereafter subject to satisfactory medical and police	
Heard Mr. Maity and Mr. Mondal.  We find that the Tribunal by order dated  15 <sup>th</sup> March, 2013 had directed the authorities to  "finalize the actual appointment within 3 months  thereafter subject to satisfactory medical and police	
We find that the Tribunal by order dated  15 <sup>th</sup> March, 2013 had directed the authorities to  "finalize the actual appointment within 3 months  thereafter subject to satisfactory medical and police	
15 <sup>th</sup> March, 2013 had directed the authorities to "finalize the actual appointment within 3 months thereafter subject to satisfactory medical and police	
"finalize the actual appointment within 3 months thereafter subject to satisfactory medical and police	
thereafter subject to satisfactory medical and police	
verification report. In case any of the successful applicant	
is found overaged, the appointing authority shall grant	
appropriate age relaxation".	
Though the judgement was in rem as	
correctly submitted by Mr. Maity, however as the	
applicant was recommended by the PSC , since a criminal	
case is pending against the applicant, no direction can be	
passed directing the respondent authorities to appoint	
the applicant. However, after conclusion of the criminal	

	DIPAK KUMAR RABIDAS.
Form No.	••••

Vs.

*OA 182 of 2014.* 

THE STATE OF W.B. & ORS.

Case No		
Serial No. and Date of order. 1	Order of the Tribunal with signature 2	Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessary
	proceedings, if the applicant is acquitted, the respondent	
	authorities, particularly the Secretary, Department of	
	Health and Family Welfare, Director of Health Services,	
	Government of West Bengal, Chief Medical Officer of	
	Health, Berhampur, Murshidabad – respondent nos. 2,3	
	and 4 respectively, in view of the order passed on 15 <sup>th</sup>	
	March, 2013 by the Tribunal, shall issue appointment	
	letter in favour of the applicant .	
	The application is accordingly disposed of.	
	(P. Ramesh Kumar) (Soumitra Pal) Member(A). Chairman.	
Skg.		

	DIPAK KUMAR RABIDAS.
Form No.	
	Vs.
OA 182 of 2014.	THE STATE OF W.B. & ORS.

Case NO		
Serial No. and Date of order. 1	Order of the Tribunal with signature 2	Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessary 3
Skg.		

	DIPAK KUMAR RABIDAS.
Form No.	
	Vs.
OA 182 of 2014.	THE STATE OF W.B. & ORS.

Codel Normal	Out of the Tile and tile it and the	Office action with date
Serial No. and	Order of the Tribunal with signature	
Date of order.	2	and dated signature
		of parties when necessary
1		3
		•